

DECISION-MAKER:	COUNCIL
SUBJECT:	OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY: ANNUAL REPORT 2010/11
DATE OF DECISION:	18 MAY 2011
REPORT OF:	HEAD OF CORPORATE POLICY AND PERFORMANCE
STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY	
None	

BRIEF SUMMARY

The Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee is required to submit a report summarising scrutiny activities over the past twelve months to Full Council each year. The document attached at Appendix 1 is therefore submitted for information in accordance with paragraph 2.2.7 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules within the Council's current constitution.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- (i) That the report be noted.

REASONS FOR REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The report is submitted for information in line with the requirements of the constitution.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

2. None, since the production of this report is a requirement set out in the Council's constitution.

DETAIL (Including consultation carried out)

3. The Council's overview and scrutiny procedure rules require an annual report to be made to the Council on the overview and scrutiny function. Attached at Appendix 1 is the Overview and Scrutiny Annual Report covering the 2010/11 municipal year. It aims to provide a succinct summary of the main scrutiny activities and inquiries undertaken during the course of the year.
4. The Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee (OSMC) and Chairs of the Scrutiny Panel's have been consulted on the attached Annual Report.
5. During 2010/11 the work of the Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee and the 3 Scrutiny Panels have focussed on improving outcomes for the City of Southampton. Developments such as strengthening the scrutiny of Children's Safeguarding, influencing decisions that impact on health provision across Southampton, and delivering a programme of Scrutiny Inquiries, have, and will continue to contribute to ensuring that policies and decisions made affecting the City are better informed.
6. Efforts have been made to engage young people in the scrutiny process. A number of pupils from the east of Southampton took part in a scrutiny workshop that culminated in the young people forming their own Panel and submitting a response to the consultation on Bitterne Walk-In Centre. In addition the decision to hold a meeting of the OSMC at the Southampton

Solent University Conference Centre resulted in a high number of students attending the meeting at which the issue of grants to voluntary organisations was debated.

RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

Capital/Revenue

7. None.

Property/Other

8. None.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report:

9. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Section 21 of the Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007.

Other Legal Implications:

10. None.

POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS

11. None.

AUTHOR:	Name:	Mark Pirnie	Tel:	023 8083 3886
	E-mail:	Mark.pirnie@southampton.gov.uk		

KEY DECISION? No

WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED:	None directly as a result of this report.
------------------------------------	---

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Non-confidential appendices are in the Members' Rooms and can be accessed on-line

Appendices

1.	Overview and Scrutiny Annual Report 2010/11
----	---

Documents In Members' Rooms

	None
--	------

Integrated Impact Assessment

Do the implications/subject of the report require an Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) to be carried out.	No
--	----

Other Background Documents

Integrated Impact Assessment and Other Background documents available for inspection at:

Title of Background Paper(s)

Relevant Paragraph of the Access to Information Procedure Rules / Schedule 12A allowing document to be Exempt/Confidential (if applicable)

	None	
--	------	--